## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered

CIRCUIT CITY STORES,

INC., et al.,

701 East Broad Street

Richmond, VA 23219

Debtors.

May 10, 2012

2:09 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

### APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Tavenner and Beran, PLC

By: PAULA BERAN, ESQ. LYNN TAVENNER, ESO.

20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

For InnerWorkings

Inc.:

DLA Piper

By: TIMOTHY W. BRINK, ESQ.

203 North LaSalle Street

Suite 1900

Chicago, IL 60601

For Sun Builders

Company:

Law Firm of Russell R. Johnson, III,

By: RUSSELL R. JOHNSON, III, ESQ.

2258 Wheatlands Drive Manakin-Sabot, VA 23102

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE:

For the Debtor: Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

By: ANDREW CAINE, ESQ.

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

2

13th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067

- - -

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. The United States 2 Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia is now in session, the Honorable Kevin R. Huennekens presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

COURT CLERK: In the matter of Circuit City Stores, Incorporated, hearing on Items 1 through 45 as set out on proposed agenda.

> MS. BERAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

For the record, Paula Beran of the law MS. BERAN: firm of Tavenner & Beran. With me this afternoon at counsels table is my law partner, Lynn Tavenner, as well as Ms. Ann Pietrantoni, from the Circuit City liquidating trust. addition, Your Honor, Mr. Andrew Caine of the Pachulski firm is on the phone.

> THE COURT: All right.

Your Honor, there are a number of matters MS. BERAN: on today's docket and I will handle the first couple and Ms. Tavenner will handle a handful and then I will come back up to the podium if Your Honor's so inclined as it relates to the claim objections.

> THE COURT: All right.

First and foremost, Your Honor, the first MS. BERAN: set of category -- or category of matters before Your Honor are the pretrial conferences. The first one is in the adversary

proceeding, Siegel versus InnerWorkings, Inc., Case Number  $2 \parallel 10-3757$ . In connection with that matter, Your Honor, we are, 3 pursuant to Your Honor's procedures, ready to set that matter for trial.

4

5

9

10

11

14

16

19

21

22

23

In consultation with defendant's counsel, we believe 6 that that matter will require two days. Counsel has also talked and compared available dates, as well as those dates that we understand were available for Your Honor. And the week of December 17th works for the parties. And we'd respectfully request I believe it was the 17th and 18th.

Finally, Your Honor, Your Honor may enter the standard pretrial order that Your Honor has entered in every 12 13 other adversary proceeding in these cases.

THE COURT: I do have the 17th and 18th available to 15∥-- and I can set it down for that date. Yes, sir?

MR. BRINK: Good morning -- pardon me, good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is Tim Brink. I'm counsel for InnerWorkings, the defendant in this adversary case.

THE COURT: Okay. And does the 17th and 18th of 20 December work for you, sir?

MR. BRINK: For the trial date, they do, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I will set it down then for a two-day trial beginning on Monday, December 17. That'll begin at 10:00. And you've also got the 18th reserved,

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

as well. The -- and then, I will issue my normal pretrial order in this case. Is that acceptable, as well?

MR. BRINK: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

9

101

11

15 l

16

2.0

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. I will go ahead and do that, then. Thank you very much.

> Thank you very much. MR. BRINK:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the second matter is the pretrial conference in the matter of Siegel versus Sun Builders Company. In connection with this matter, the parties have discussed, we believe it will take about a day and a half but we'd respectfully request to reserve two days for the trial on this matter. And at this point in time, Your Honor, we would respectfully request that we have a date in January, given the other trials that are already scheduled in these cases.

THE COURT: I thought you were being rather ambitious when you scheduled the last one a week after one you already had scheduled. And I was going to suggest that we just go over 19 to the next week there.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, the parties had -- in connection with that one, the parties had been discussing that week before the earlier one had been scheduled. So, since that agreement had been reached, we went --

THE COURT: All right. Let's -- in January, I've got  $25 \parallel --$  I can do January 7 and 8.

#### WWW.JJCOURT.COM

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Tavenner?

and Ms. Tavenner will address those.

24

25

3

4

9

10 II

11

12

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TAVENNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. For the 2 record, Lynn Tavenner, of the law firm of Tavenner & Beran, appearing on behalf of the trust.

Item 3, Your Honor, arises in the adversary of Siegel 5 against B.R. Fries. It's a motion to dismiss the adversary 6 proceeding. It's a motion filed in the Igbal nature. submitted to Your Honor that the motion itself is premature 8 given Your Honor's mediation procedures and wanted to advise that the parties have conducted an initial mediation at this point. However, the mediator has continued the same for an additional exchange of additional information. So, the mediation is ongoing at this point. As a result, we would respectfully request that this motion be continued again until 14 June 7, 2012.

THE COURT: And that's perfectly acceptable to the Court. It'll be continued to the 7th.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. The next 18 matter --

THE COURT: Who's mediating this one? It's not 20 critical.

MS. TAVENNER: Mr. Flanagan is the trust's attorney and I cannot recall -- it'll come to me and I'll advise you.

THE COURT: It's not critical.

MS. TAVENNER: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. You can go on to the next matter.

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 matter is Item 4, Siegel against SYNNEX Corporation. 3 relates to a matter that actually is scheduled for trial in August. The trust has filed a motion, pursuant to Rule 7037, 5 with respect to certain discovery failures. And we are trying to resolve those and advised Your Honor of that last time but given that we are in ongoing stages of discovery, we would respectfully request that it remain on your docket until the June 7th trial date -- I mean, hearing date, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. And if we resolve -- if you're unavailable to resolve it and we hear it on June 7, that'll give you plenty of time prior to the trial date.

MS. TAVENNER: We believe so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Then, that's what I'll do, continue to June 7.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. Item 5 is a motion for an order further extending the claims objection deadline for certain categories of claims. Your Honor, we addressed this with you and gave you a preview at the last hearing. By this motion, the trust is requesting that the claim objection deadline of April 24 be extended by another 160 days to October 1 with respect to certain identified categories of claims. It's not a carte blanche request for an extension.

Since we were last before you on April 12, the trust has continued to work very hard to continue to analyze claims

and has indeed filed additional claims objections.  $2 \parallel \text{respect}$  to where we are at this point, when the motion was 3 filed, there were 4,671 claims with an aggregate amount of 4 approximately 1.1 billion that remains subject to review and objection, if needed. And of those, there were approximately 3700 that related totally -- solely to general unsecured claims with an amount of about -- a little less than a million.

5

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

20 l

21

22

23

Your Honor, the task of addressing these remaining unresolved claims has been extremely daunting, given the trust's other duties. And, as Your Honor knows, these tasks are being performed by 13 trust employees who were former Circuit City employees. They have done a phenomenal job to date and we are -- it's been a pleasure to work with them. They have an incredible work ethic.

They are, however, in addition to addressing the claims, continually preoccupied with other items, including continuing to work on the prosecution of the adversary proceedings that we address with you on a monthly basis. as a result of their efforts, we have been able to resolve a substantial amount of them. It was over 490 at the time we filed the motion and it's even greater than that today.

In addition, Your Honor, they continue to reconcile the claims and, on top of all that, are working very hard with Mr. Siegel to address all the administrative tasks of the financial and tax reporting with respect to the trust itself.

2.0

Your Honor, the specific categories that we are asking for an extension on relate to the following, human resources related, approximately 1400 claims that have been identified by the trust as HR claims falling into the following types of categories including but not limited to paid time off, short-term incentive plan, long-term incentive plan, 401(k) pension and severance. And they've continued to analyze and indeed have filed some additional objections with respect to those.

But, as I told you before, Ms. Pietrantoni, who's here today and is heading up that review process with respect to the HR claims -- while they have done an initial review of all those claims, she felt that it would be more expedient for both the trust and the claimants themselves to seek an additional time so that she could go back and complete the internal analysis because much of it initially had been done by the debtors' professionals and she wanted to go back and verify that information herself before filing any objection and unnecessarily bringing anyone in here.

The other categories, Your Honor, relate to landlord claims but these specifically relate to such claims where a claim may have been objected to as being filed against the wrong debtor entity or by the wrong claimant and for which such objection has not been finally resolved and is still pending. So, an identified subcategory there of landlord claims.

Similarly --

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: And how many of those do we have?

MS. TAVENNER: I don't have a number, Your Honor, because it's an ongoing process. We believe that we had filed 5 the, if you will, the substantive objections with regard to all  $6 \parallel$  of the landlord claims and these are just the ones that we want to clean up. And there's some others that are already out there. We want to make sure we don't miss something.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. TAVENNER: There -- similarly, Your Honor, we have claims subject to pending objections, not necessarily landlord claims, the same type of category. And, finally, Your Honor, as we advised you last time, there are approximately 800 claims that were originally scheduled by debtors as undisputed. The trust has gone back and determined that some of those should be reviewed and potentially there may be some additional objections relating to those. And they've matched up many of them, but they're concerned that there could be some duplication between scheduled and filed claims and just want to make sure that they have everything wrapped up with regard to those.

It's only, Your Honor, with these specific categories that the trust is seeking a further extension of time through October 1 to object to any of those claims as necessary. I did want to advise the Court, Your Honor, that since we were last

before you on April the 12th, the trust has filed an additional 14 claim objections, a dozen of which were actually omnibus claim objections. These objections related to claims for indemnification, miscellaneous human resources, equity, landlord, including the mitigation claims, as well as two substantial stand-alone objections relating to claims filed by PNY and Chase Bank.

So, Your Honor, they are continuing to work very hard but do seek an extension of time for the identified categories through October 1. Ms. Pietrantoni, who is the trust's HR and report manager, is here today available to testify in support of the motion to the extent necessary and the trust's efforts and accomplishments to date and the remaining work to be done. She would tell the Court if called to testify that the relief requested in the motion is in the best interests of the estate as a whole and is necessary to the effective administration of the trust in its duties.

We have received no objections, Your Honor, to this motion and would respectfully request that the Court enter an order extending the objection deadline for the delineated categories of claims through and including October 1 of this year.

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Tavenner. Does any party with to be heard in connection with the motion for an order further extending the claims objection deadline for the

categories of claims that counsel has outlined? (No audible response)

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 **|** 

15

16

17

19 II

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: All right. There being no objections, the Court's going to grant that motion.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item 6 on the docket is Item 6. It's a motion to file under seal certain agreements with PNY Technologies.

Your Honor, this relates to the claim objection that was filed that I just discussed with you. In conjunction with that objection, the trust identified certain agreements in the text of its objection but because of a confidentiality agreement contained in the master dealer agreement, the trust, 13 out of an abundance of caution, filed the motion to file the 14 certain documents under seal.

Your Honor, since we filed that motion, PNY, through its counsel, has filed a response. And we have had subsequent correspondence with their counsel. Specifically, Your Honor, counsel has told us that they are willing to waive the confidentiality agreement in the master dealer agreement and agree that the trust has no obligation to file the exhibits referenced in the objection under seal and no objection to the trustee filing any exhibits to the docket in this case. Similarly, Your Honor, the trust will acknowledge that, based upon that, that PNY would have no corresponding obligation to file similar documents under seal.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19 II

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Neil McCullagh, who is one of the counsel for the 2 trust, has also advised us that, based upon this, he was not intending to appear here today. I advised him that we would 4 alert Your Honor to where we are and that we would request that 5 when we prepare the order to withdraw, which is what we are requesting, that we would include this language in our order and request him to endorse the same so that we ensure that everyone is on the same page.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

MS. TAVENNER: With that, Your Honor, we would submit that the motion at this point could be withdrawn and we would submit the appropriate order.

THE COURT: All right. In fact, PNY filed an 14 objection --

MS. TAVENNER: Yes. Yes, they did, which --

THE COURT: -- which I thought was kind of interesting. All right. Very good. Well, I suppose then I understand Mr. McCullagh's reluctance to be here today. I'll certainly enter that order as you've described it.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. We will need to revise the order and get it to Mr. McCullagh, but we will submit it to you as promptly as possible.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

MS. TAVENNER: We do want to have his endorsement.

THE COURT: All right.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23 l

25

MS. TAVENNER: The final motion that's on the docket, 2 Your Honor, Item 7, is a similar motion to file under seal a certain agreement with Chase Bank USA, National Association. This, Your Honor, is in conjunction with the claim objection 5 | filed with respect to the Chase Bank claim.

We have heard from Mr. Michael Condyles who is counsel for J.P. Morgan Chase. He has informed us that he has reviewed the motion and has no objection to the relief requested. As a result, Your Honor, we would intend to submit to Your Honor for entry the proposed order that we tendered to the motion, granting the filing of the document under seal. And we would do that in accordance with the local rules.

THE COURT: And Chase was not willing to waive the confidentiality requirement.

MS. TAVENNER: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. It seems to me that a lot of that is ancient history at this point. It's hard to figure out how it could still be, you know -- but I will defer to the parties and I can ask Mr. Condilos that question at the appropriate time. r the time being though I will grant your motion and you can submit your order.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. That concludes the motion that are on the docket. The remainder of the docket relates to various claim objections which Ms. Beran will take up with you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, I apologize. I had stepped out because Mr. Johnson had a question for me in connection with a matter. I understand in my absence that Your Honor 5 asked about the mediator in the B.R. Fries matter. That matter is being mediated by Mr. Rick Meth and I was actually the trust's counsel who participated in that mediation. And we are continuing to exchange information and --

THE COURT: I was waiting for you to leave to see just how tuned in Ms. Tavenner was to what was going on with the mediation.

(Laughter)

MS. BERAN: Oh, Your Honor, if you only knew what 14 transpired in our offices.

Turning then, Your Honor, to the claims objections found at Page 6 on the lengthy agenda. Your Honor, as indicated on Exhibits A and B attached to the agenda, since we last stood before you on all of these claims types objections two months ago, I'm happy to report that, you know, over 50 of them -- I think it's 55, 57 of these claims now have been resolved, as indicated on, primarily, Exhibit B. And so, we -the trust continues to work in connection with resolving the ones that it had objected to and specifically in reconciling and exchanging information with the various claimants.

In connection with the specific claim objections in

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

connection with the debtors' nineteenth omnibus objection, Your  $2 \parallel$  Honor, there was one claim that still remains outstanding. We'd respectfully request that the status conference be 4 continued until July 10th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 8, the debtors' thirty-first omnibus objection, there still remain a handful of claims outstanding related to that objection. We'd respectfully request that they be continued for status hearing to July 10th.

> They'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 11, the debtors' thirty-seventh omnibus objection to claims, similarly, there are a handful that remain outstanding. We'd respectfully request they be continued for status purposes to July 10th.

> They'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Turning to Page 16, Your Honor, on the debtors' sixtieth omnibus objection, there still remains one claim outstanding as it relates to that objection, and we'd respectfully request that that be continued for status purposes till July 10th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Similarly, on Item Number 12, the debtors' seventieth -- seventy-sixth

4

5

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

omnibus objection, there was one claim remaining outstanding, and we'd respectfully request the objection be continued to July 10th for status purposes on that matter.

> It'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 6 | 19, the debtors' seventy-ninth omnibus objection to claims, there still remain a handful of claims outstanding on that objection. We'd respectfully request that they be continued for status conference -- status purposes to the July 10th omnibus.

> They'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Turning to Page 21, Item Number 14, we 13 now go to the set of what we refer to as the stand-alone tax objections, that is the notice and objection to the California Board of Equalization. We'd respectfully request that we continue that matter for status purposes to July 10th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

Similarly, Your Honor, Item Number 15 is MS. BERAN: 19∥-- relates to the Tennessee Department of Revenue. We'd respectfully request that that matter be continued for status purposes to July 10th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. We'd also respectfully request that Item Number 16, the objection to the Wisconsin Department of Revenue's claim, be continued for

status purposes to July 10th.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19 II

20

21

22

23

It'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 17 on MS. BERAN: Page 26, it relates to the Louisiana Department of Revenue's 5 claims. We are happy to report to Your Honor that these objections and the underlying claims have been settled in concept. However, it's still pending documentation so we thought it most appropriate that we go ahead and continue the status conference until July 10th and are optimistic that we can remove the matter from the Court's docket then.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. I'll continue it 12 to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. On Page 27, Your Honor, the objection to the Massachusetts -- well, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, we'd respectfully request that that matter be continued until July 10th for status purposes.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BERAN: Similarly, Your Honor, to the claims of the State of New Jersey, we'd -- and the objections thereto, we'd respectfully request that Item Number 19 be continued for status purposes until July 10th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, as it relates to the claims and the objections to the Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Taxation, we'd respectfully request that Item Number 20 be continued until July 10th.

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, turning back now to the liquidating trust's omnibus objection 5 on Page 32, the liquidating trust's first omnibus objection. 6 Your Honor, in connection with these objections, there are still outstanding the two categories of claims that we've previously referenced, those being to which a response was filed and we are still trying to reconcile and come to a resolution and/or to those that we have had informal responses and/or correspondence and have agreed to continue out the response date so that we can continue to try and resolve and/or reconcile. With regard to those two categories, as identified on Exhibit B, we'd respectfully request that this omnibus objection be continued until the July 10th omni date.

THE COURT: Be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning now to Page 36, Item Number 22 is the liquidating trust's second omnibus objection. Your Honor, as indicated on Exhibit B, certain of the claims subject to that objection have been resolved. There are additional -- the two categories of claims. As it relates to those two categories of claims, we'd respectfully request that they be continued until the July 10th omnibus hearing for status purposes.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 40 now is the liquidating trust's third omnibus objection. As indicated on Exhibit B, certain of those claims have been resolved and can be removed from the Court's docket. The two additional categories of claims, we'd respectfully request, as identified on Exhibit B, that they be continued until the July 10th omnibus hearing for status purposes.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning now to Page 44, Item Number 24, the liquidating trust's fourth omnibus objection. Your Honor will see on Exhibit B that certain of these claims have been resolved and can be removed from the Court's docket. The remaining ones are those subject to the two categories. We'd respectfully request that they be continued for status purposes until the July 10th omnibus hearing date.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. That brings us to Page 48 which is Item Number 25, the liquidating trust's fifth omnibus objection. Similarly, Your Honor, certain of these claims have been resolved, as identified on Exhibit B. The remaining two categories, we'd respectfully request that they be continued until July 10th for status purposes.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

51, Item Number 26, the liquidating trust's sixth omnibus 2 objection, these claims, as identified on Exhibit B, are the two categories of claims described. We'd respectfully request that they be continued until July 10th for status purposes.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, that brings us to Page 55, Item Number 27, the liquidating trust's seventh omnibus Similarly, there are two categories of claims objection. remaining outstanding. We'd respectfully request that for those categories these item -- this item be continued until July 10th for status purposes.

> THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 28 on Page 58, Your Honor, is the liquidating trust's eighth omnibus objection. As identified on Exhibit B, certain of these claims have been resolved and can be removed from the Court's docket. Similarly, there are the two categories of claims that remain outstanding and we'd respectfully request that this omnibus objection be continued for those claims to the July 10th omnibus date.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 62, Your Honor, brings us to the liquidating trust's ninth omnibus objection. As identified on Exhibit B, certain of those claims have been resolved and may be removed from the

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Court's docket. The two categories of claims, we'd 2 respectfully request that they be continued for status purposes to the July 10th omnibus date.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning now to 6 Page 66, Item 30, there are -- there is one claimant that still remains, subject to this omnibus objection, Mr. Besanko. And, as it relates to him, we'd respectfully request that this matter be continued until the July 10th omnibus hearing date for status purposes.

> It'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the 13 debtors' fourteenth omnibus objection -- excuse me -- the liquidating trust's fourteenth omnibus objection on Page 68. As identified on Exhibit B, certain of the claims subject to that omnibus objection have been resolved. The remaining two categories of claims, we'd respectfully request that they be continued for status purposes until July 10th.

> They'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 72 now, Your Honor, Item Number 32, the liquidating trust's fifteenth omnibus objection, once again, Your Honor, some of those claims subject to that omnibus objection have been resolved, as identified on Exhibit B. For the two remaining categories of claims identified on Exhibit B, we'd respectfully

3

4

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

request that it be continued for status purposes to July 10th omnibus hearing date.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 33 on 5 Page 75 is the liquidating trust's seventeenth omnibus As it relates to this omnibus objection, there are still the two categories of claims outstanding and for those we'd respectfully request that they be continued until July 10th omnibus hearing date.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

Turning to Page MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 79, Item Number 34 is the liquidating trust's eighteenth omnibus objection. Your Honor, as identified on Exhibit B, a number of those have been resolved and may be removed from the Court's docket. Similarly, Your Honor, there are still the two categories of claims outstanding and we'd respectfully request that they be continued until the July 10th omnibus date.

> THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Turning to Page 82, Item Number 35, the twentieth omnibus objection. identified on Exhibit B, certain of those claims have been resolved and may be removed from the Court's docket. addition, Your Honor, there are still the two categories of other claims outstanding, and we'd respectfully request that they be continued until July 10th omnibus hearing date.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. On Page 85, the liquidating trust's twenty-first omnibus objection, some of those claims subject to that objection have been resolved, as 5 dentified on Exhibit B, and may be removed from the Court's The two remaining categories of claims, we'd respectfully request, as identified on Exhibit B, that they be continued for status purposes to July 10th.

> They'll be continued to July 10. THE COURT:

Thank you, Your Honor. That brings us MS. BERAN: then, Your Honor, to Page 89, Item Number 37, the liquidating trust's twenty-third omnibus objection. That objection still has two categories of claims subject to it and we'd respectfully request that it be continued for status purposes until the July 10th omnibus.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 38 on MS. BERAN: Page 91 is the liquidating trust's twenty-fourth omnibus objection. As identified on Exhibit B, Your Honor, certain of those claims have been resolved and may be removed from the Court's docket. In addition, Your Honor, there are the two categories of claims which we'd respectfully request be continued for status purposes till the July 10th omnibus date.

> THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BERAN: That brings us to

Item Number 39 on Page 94, Your Honor, the liquidating trust's twenty-fifth omnibus objection to claims. Your Honor, as identified on Exhibit B, certain of those claims have been resolved. There are, as well, though, the two categories of claims still outstanding and we'd respectfully request that those claims identified on Exhibit B -- that this matter be continued until July 10th for status purposes.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, then turning to Page 96, Item Number 40, the liquidating trust's twenty-sixth omnibus objection. There are still two -- the two categories of claims that remain outstanding in this omnibus objection and we'd respectfully request, as identified on Exhibit B, that these items be continued until July 10th's omnibus hearing date.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 41 on Page 99 is the liquidating trust's twenty-seventh omnibus objection. Similarly, Your Honor, there are the two categories of claims that still remain pending subject to that omnibus objection. We'd respectfully request that they be continued until the July 10th omnibus date for status purposes.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 42 on Page 100 and 101 is the liquidating trust's twenty-eighth

omnibus objection. As Your Honor will see on Exhibit B, certain of the claims subject to that objection have been 3 resolved and may be removed from the Court's docket. There are 4 also the two categories of claims that still remain unresolved 5 in connection with that omnibus objection and we'd respectfully request that those claims, as identified on Exhibit B, be continued until July 10th for status purposes.

> THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. That brings us to Page 103 which is the -- Item Number 43, the liquidating trust's twenty-ninth omnibus objection. As it relates to that omnibus objection, Your Honor, there are the two categories of claims which remain outstanding. We'd respectfully request all those identified on Exhibit B be continued for status purposes until the July 10th omnibus hearing.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

Thank you, Your Honor, MS. BERAN: Item Number 44, on Page 103, is the liquidating trust's thirtieth omnibus objection to claims. In connection with that, Your Honor, as identified on Exhibit B, some of those claims have been resolved and may be removed from the Court's docket. Similarly, though, Your Honor, there are the two categories of claims that remained outstanding and we'd respectfully for those identified on Exhibit B that they be continued for status purposes till the July 10th hearing.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19 II

2.0

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, that brings us to the last item, Item Number 45, which is the liquidating trust's thirty-first omnibus objection. As identified on Exhibit B, certain of those claims have been resolved and may be removed from the Court's docket. There still are the two categories of claims remaining outstanding. We'd respectfully request that they be continued until the July 10th omnibus hearing date.

THE COURT: They'll be continued to July 10.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. And, Your Honor, I would also just note for the record that, as we continue to work these, we will continue to remove them. But, it looks like July 10th will be another large agenda in that those claim objections that Ms. Tavenner referenced having been filed are all set down for initial status conference, as to those that are responses filed and/or to which we agreed to extend response date to continue an exchange of information. They are set down for initial hearing on July 10th.

THE COURT: All right. But, all those have been set for status as opposed to anything substantive at this point in time?

MS. BERAN: Correct, Your Honor. The only substantive would be -- for all of those would be to the extent no response and/or no extension is granted. Then, we would be

1 respectfully requesting that, as Your Honor has done with all 2 the other omnis, that there be a default entered in connection 3 with the relief that had been requested for failure to respond.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, that is the end of today's 6 lengthy agenda. Ms. Tavenner, Mr. Caine and/or myself are happy to answer any questions Your Honor may have.

THE COURT: I don't have any specific questions. there's no other business we need to take up in Circuit City, we can be adjourned.

MS. BERAN: None that I'm aware of, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is now adjourned.

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, STEPHANIE SCHMITTER, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

# /s/ Stephanie Schmitter

STEPHANIE SCHMITTER

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: May 23, 2012